

Shri Balasaheb Mane Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, Vathar Tarf Vadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112 E-mail: <u>hodcivil@amgoi.edu.in</u>, Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback on Curriculum Summary

Sr. No.	Name of Stakeholders	Year's
1	Students Feedback	10013
2	Alumni Feedback	-
3	Faculty Feedback	2022-23
4	Employers Feedback	

100

Prepared by

HOD HOD

Civil Engineering

AMGOL, Faculty of Engineering Jutter Tart Varigator, Tal. hatkarangale, Dist. Koltopur






	edback on Curriculum
Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of Alumni feedbacks collected	23
Academic Year	2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

_	V	(ii)	Number of Stud	ents Who ha	ive marked		
Q.No	Statements	Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-	
Q1	Was the syllabus relevant to program?	13	6	4	0	0	
Q2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	13	8	2	0	0	
Q3	Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?	11	9	3		0	
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?	9	,	5	0	0	
Q5	Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?	10	- 10	3	. 0	0	
Q6	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?	12	6	5	0	. 0	

Alumini Feedback 2022-23



■ Good-3 ■ Average-2

CIVIL ENGG. DEPT

raph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Civil Engineering

AMGOL Faculty of Engineering Authur Turf Vacignou, Tal harkanangale, Dest Kolhapur

Prepared By

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	ः ८१५१।
Alumni Name	: Mikhi I pandit konase
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

♣ Questionnaire:

100							
0.1	Was	the co	diahus	relevant	to	program	Ç
-	****	cite 2	ugnas	relevant		program	×

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 , 26od - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 , Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 \ Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



Arrange	the	advance	e techniqu	ue systems	
Arrange	the	hired	gulified	lecturesm.	
			1		

Recommendations for course improvement:

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering -
Alumni Name	: Amol Anil Indultar
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek-your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

					i
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor · 1	

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 \Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



Arrange	Inc	dustral a	nd ontonie	J
technical	4	sofwace	section.	The state of the s

♣ Recommendations for course improvement:

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil
Alumni Name	: Signifor sumi) kashid
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

					ă.
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

♣ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 600d - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



erange	Industrial	and	advanced
echnical	& sofware	, 4	apptitude
session	5 +		99 90

♣ Recommendations for course improvement:

(3)

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: chil Englosering
Alumni Name	: pooja Gopal Alase
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	ery Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - I
---------------	--------------	----------	-------------	----------

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 | Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



4	Recommendations	for course	improvement:
---	-----------------	------------	--------------

(Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Amange industrial working visit
so that wer focus on our future
security.

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Sourabh sanjay mali.
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022 - 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1




possi	age in	Ugast	roial	working	418N P	90	that
we	Focus	on	ours	Future	secursi	4-1	

12.5

Employers Feedback on Curriculum				
Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering			
Total number of employers feedback collected	5			
Academic Year	2022-23			

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

,			Number of Stude	ents Who h	ave marked	
Q. No	Statements	Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
QI	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	3	2	0	0	0
Q2	Howdoyou feel about the syllabus content and coverage?	4,	1	0	0	0
Q3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	1	- 4	0	. 0	0
Q4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	1	2	2	0	0
Q5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	0	2	3	0	0
Q6 '	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	3	2	0	0	0
Q7	How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?	3	1	1	0	0



STITZVII TO PLOS

Prepared By

HOD Civil Engineering

AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering HOD athar Tarf Vadgaon, Tal. herkenagale, Der. Kohapur

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Enga
Company/Firm Name	: matru consultant
Name of Person & designation	: Pratmosh. a. Vibrust
Academic Year	: 1022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

- Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Very Good - 4 Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Very Good - 4 Excellent - 5

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Exceffent - 5

Extellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

. Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

VGood - 3

Average - 2



provement etc., if any)					
Arvance	Suggetts	0.06 >	equire	14960	39902
more	D4 - C 64 - \				
	ber confed				

Signature of Employer

★ Recommendations for course improvement:

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engg.		
Company/Firm Name	: PolyEdge consultancy.		
Name of Person & designation	: notit katkar		
Academic Year	: 2022-23		

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

	ALTONOMICS AND ALL STREET, AND			
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
CACCHEIL - 3	TOTA GOOD T	0000	LizateDe a	

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



The	er sh	ould be	Auto cut	sothe	
par	70 +	24/1642			
)			

(Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scopefor

★ Recommendations for course improvement:

Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil engineering
Company/Firm Name	: Patel Associates and construction
Name of Person & designation	: patel mahammadarbaj Imteraj
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1	

Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Very Good - 4 Good - 3

Average – 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.6 is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1



	ecommendation nion onhow to imp etc., if any)		nprovement: bove statements that ye	ou feel has a scopefor
Include	Jodustry	Related	syllabus.	
	***************************************			Migratel Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil engineering
Company/Firm Name	: vijaymala construction kini
Name of Person & designation	: khopkar Harshavardhan fravin
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

				The second secon
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

♣ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

-Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 6ood - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



Recommendations for course in (Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above.)	mprovement: above statements that you feel has a scopefor
improvement etc., if any)	
1.22	.1
very good No sug	edion.
•	
	Signature of Employe

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering	
Company/Firm Name	: precision Engineering services, ko	haf
Name of Person & designation	: Bidre onkar Ashok	
Academic Year	: 2022-23	

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5 - Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - :




	25-1000	f any)				
reed	do	add	industri.	wouled	Proport	Jeanfire
V		400	Tripyon .	CVOGFEL	егрела	Security

Faculty	1		
Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering		_
Total number of Faculty feedback collected	5		
Academic Year	2022-23		

This questionnaire intended to collection formation relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

400000	5257000 0000 000-0		Number of Fac	ulty Who	have marked		
Q. No	Statements	Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
Q1	Syllabus is suitable to the course		1	0	0	0	
Q2	Syllabus is need based.	1	4	0	0	0	
Q3	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	1	3	1	0	0	
Q4	Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials	5	0	0	0	0	
Q5	The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab.	2	3	0	0	0	
Q6	The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject Area.	3	2	0	0	0	
Q7	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology	1	2	2	0	0	
Q8	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant.	5	0	0	0	0	

Faculty Feedback 2022-23



© Good-3 ■ Average-2

Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

, 7C1,ON

Prepared By,

Civil Engineering

HOD AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engineericy	
Faculty Name	: Prof. Suraj. S. Potil.	
Academic Year	: 2011 - 23	

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

★ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Q1 Symbol is suitable to the course

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average – 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

. Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5

Very Good -4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

ON ENGL DEAT

		06. E1 0 4	1	need	more	forms	or	sirri L
H. H. H. S.								
tate	m	ethod						
			-		V-10111111111111111		- 11	

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	CIVII	Engine	rinV	
Faculty Name	:	Proof	Stital	Shirzonda	chokakeras
Academic Year	:	2010	- 58		

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
≠ Quest	tionnaire:				
Q.1 Syllabus is su	itable to the course				
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.2 Syllabus is n	eed based	1	70		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.3 Aims and o	bjectives of the syllabi	are welldefined and	clear to teachers and st	udents	
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.4 Course cor	itent is followed by o	orrespondingreferen	ice books/materials		
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.5 The course,	syllabus has good bala	nce between theory	and Lab		
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.6 The course,	syllabus of this subject	increased my knowle	edge and perspective in t	he subject area	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.7 I have the for the latest techn	reedom to propose, mo ology	odify, suggestand inc	orporate new topics in t	he syllabus withresp	
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	600d - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.8 The books p	orescribed/listed as ref	erencematerials are	relevant		
~Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	



In Co	10000	Brachical	Should be	included	RTIVILL	of Buch Creanle
12 13	4					impound
do u			-,		D	

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engineering
Faculty Name	: Pr.f. T. A. Paril.
Academic Year	: 2022-22

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the Curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
	Very Good - 4	Very Good - 4 Good - 3	Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2

★ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Q.1 Sylladus is suitable to the course

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5

Wery Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average -2

Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

. Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1



s in teaching sch				100	
southers	Lorots	are	Tega	isea.	
D					

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engineering
Faculty Name	: Shinde 1. Th.
Academic Year	: 2872-73

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

≠ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the cours

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Very Good - 4

Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



In	dusty board	equipme	h d	emons foods	un	is req	wred
in	gallaby	Indishil	ruit	required	fir	More	under tradity
							ad

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended,

Signature of Faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil cross.
Faculty Name	: 9 70 9 . 2 . 10014
Academic Year	: 2022 = 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

					_
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

♣ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5

Excellent - 5

Yery Good -4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5

Very Good -4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5

Very Good -4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withre spect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1




n teaching scheme	and experiments,	etc., if any)		
30 Ftwore	ore.	reacinged	>0 0×	199

Students Feedba	ack on Curriculum
Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of students feedback collected	17
Academic Year	2022-23

This questionnaire intended to collection for mention relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q. No	1 Marc 10 Scott	NumberofStudentsWhohavemarked					
Q. 140	Statements	Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I	
Qι	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation the competencies expected out of the courses?	10	3	3	1	0	
Q2	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	9	4	3	1	0	
Q3	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	9	7	0	1	0	
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	9	7	1	0	0	
Q5	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	10	5	1	1	0	
Q6	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	10	5	2	0	0	
Q7	How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?	10	6	1	0	0	
Q8	How do you rate the percentage of course shaving LAB components?	10	5	2	0	0	



Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Prepared By,



Civil Engineering AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engineering.	
Student Name	: mail pritt prakash	
Academic Year	: 2022-23.	

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

The second secon				
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

♣ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Æxcellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on he student

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

✓Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2

			of lated	10	cource
need	come	quest rectures	112.4010	70	
ptaint					

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	· Civil Engineering.
Student Name	: kamble Atul vishwas
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent E	Man Cont 4	240 240 2	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		-
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Æxcellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load onthe student

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for eachof the course?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2



		1		4		Townson.	
CC	design	should	pe	in	limit	State.	
	J						

(P

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Student Name	: Sutar maithiti sanjay
Academic Year	: 2023-2023

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

A A STATE OF THE S			
Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
	Very Good - 4	Very Good – 4 Good - 3	Very Good – 4 Good - 3 Average – 2

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to he courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load onthe student

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2



etc., if any		to improve un	y or the do	ore point that	you reer may a	scopefor improve
D55	Designe	should	be in	Limit c	state	
	U					
				70.000		

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering				
Student Name	: Chougu	e sakshi	Sontosh		
Academic Year	: 5055-	3			

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

and the last transfer of the second		111121111111111111111111111111111111111	**************************************	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for eachof the course?

Excellent - 5 Vepy Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2



(1)

., if any)						
RCC	design	should	be in	limit	state.	
W 17-11-100						

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Student Name	: Powar Milind Prakash
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for Quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1 Excellent - 5

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1 Excellent - 5

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Excellent - 5 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Good - 3 Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Good - 3 Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1 ONANE GROUP

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2

Recommendations for course improvement: (Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above point that etc if any)	you feel has a scopefor improvement
Arange visits	
	M.P. Power Signature of Student

-

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Electronics & Telecommunication
Alumni Name	: Kapare Valbhavi Uttam
Academic Year\ Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

			The second second	Poor-1
Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	1001-1

Questionnaire:

Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Pool

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your cut

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor



Give	Mare.	Industry	exproune.	to students
		_ :: , /	.1	
			5 68 65 6	
		ek.		
		13.		

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be

4 Recommendations for course improvement:



U.U.K.

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

ntment	1 FATC 0 500
Department	: Salunthe Ashani Sanjaj
Alumni Name	
Academic Year\ Batch	= 9022 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

		and the second second second	1	Poor-1
	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	2,000,000
Excellent-5	very over	12.20.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00		

Questionnaire:

Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Poor-1 Average-2 Good-3 Very Good-4 Excellent-5

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

Poor-1 Average-2 Good-3 Very Good-4 Excellent-5

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular

topic?

Poor-1 Average-2 Good-3 Very Good-4

Excellent-5 4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

Average-2 Poor-1 Good-3 Very Good-4 Excellent-5

Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Average-2 Poor-1 Very Good-4 Good-3 Excellent-5

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Poor-1 Average-2 Good-3 Very Good-4 Excellent-5



4	Recommendations	for course	improvement:
---	-----------------	------------	--------------

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

	Arrange Expert	ecture for	software.
	language	4. 50	
	0 0		
(18) # A	1,1"		
	ek.	25	1 +:
	337		



Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	1 For TC		
Alumni Name	: Joshi Kumud Krushna		
Academic Year\ Batch	= 2022-23		

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Exactles 4 5	X7 00 0 1	1			
Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	

Questionnaire:

1,	Was th	ne syllabus	relevant to	program?	
----	--------	-------------	-------------	----------	--

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent-5 L-Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1



Recommendations for course improves	ment:
-------------------------------------	-------

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Ana	el mo	re training	Dupdadle .	
	9	1114	4. 1	
		****	11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
4				19
		Se.		
		22		



Signature

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	Electronica & Telecommunication
Alumni Name	: Yodan Abhishek Prahash
Academic Year/Batch	1 2022 - 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Poor-1

Questionnaire:

 Was the syllabus relevant to program 	I.	Was the syllabus	relevant to	program?
--	----	------------------	-------------	----------

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2

Average-2 Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent-5 Wery Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1



Apple 27


-	Recommendations for course improvement:
	(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be
	recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)
	Programs on over sees education

1 1			
	6	7.4.	
	28		



11

Signature of



Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	i 687 c
Alumni Name	: Pavan V Stirde
Academic Year\ Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
-------------	-------------	--------	-----------	--------	--

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent-5 Wery Good-4 Good-3

Wery Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent-5 Wery Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?



4	Recommendations	for course improvement	
---	-----------------	------------------------	--

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

- 8	arrange	hands on 1 program	on it.
	J		
ų.	10	3,7	+ = *25
		es.	200



Signature

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Employer,

An alumnus of our institute Mr/Ms. Pawan	Chinda	is
working in your organization. We are thankful to you for prestigious company/organization. We shall very much appears some of your valuable time to fill up this feedbainstitute further and give you better employees in future.	providing them employment with your	ur

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

1-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

	How satisfied are you with each of these areas:	h the stud	ents worl	c performan	ce in	1	2	3	4	5
1	General communication skills	3				-	-	-	/	
2	Developing practical solution	s to work p	laces prob	lems			-	1		
3	Working as part of a team	J. 15 (10) (10) (10) (10)	2003021435			-	-	V	1	
4	Creative in response to work	lace challe	nges					1	V	
5	Their planning and organization skills							1		
6	Self-motivated and taking on		e level of r	esponsibility			\vdash	1		
7	Open to new ideas and learning	ng new tech	niques					1		7.
8	Ability to contribute to the go	al of the or	ganization	1			\vdash	1	7	150
9	Technical knowledge/skill						\vdash	17	V	
10	Ability to manage/leadership	Ability to manage/leadership qualities							7	-
11	Innovativeness, creativity						-	1.7	V	-
12		Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates						V	-	-
13	Involvement in social activitie					-	-	-	V	1
14	Ability to take up extra respon	nsibility				-	-	+	1	~
15	obligation					-	+	1	V	-
On	scale of 1 to 10 how do you riculum?	rate your	overall sa	tisfaction w	th AM	GO	stu	dent	s and	I the
1	2 3 4	5	6	7	8	-	9		110	
	ou were dissatisfied with any a Need to improve t			A11			-		110	

Empolyer &



curriculum?	s do you have rega	irding the
Include core electronics relation pro	gramming long	vages.
Any other comments:		
Any other comments.		
Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?	Yes V	No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes v	No
	Yes v	No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes v	No rogram or
Would you refer us to other organization? Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about a	Yes v	No rogram or
Would you refer us to other organization? Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about a students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discu	Yes v	No rogram or
Would you refer us to other organization? Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about a students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discu your contact number.	Yes v	No rogram or
Would you refer us to other organization? Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about a students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discu your contact number.	Yes v	No rogram or
Would you refer us to other organization? Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about a students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discu your contact number.	Yes v	No rogram o

Name of Employer:_	Milind	Ambekan	Position: Team Leader
Company/Organizatio	n: ErerE	ectronics Pvt. Ltd., Pan	e



Signature with Seal

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to sab@amgoi.edu.in .

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear	E		149.90
TROOP	E m	D MYS	one in

An alumnus of our institute Mr/Ms. Vidyatary Ananda Londe is working in your organization. We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your prestigious company/organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you. If you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. It will help us to improve the institute further and give you better employees in future.

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

I-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

T	10 m 20 lby 1 - 300 0	isfied are hese areas		h the stu	dents wor	k perforn	nance in	1	2	3	4	5
1	General c	ommunica	ation skills								1	
2	Developin	ng practica	al solution	s to work	places pro	blems						~
3	Working	as part of	a team									~
4	Creative i	n response	e to workp	lace chall	enges							1
5	Their plan	ning and	organizati	on skills								V
6	Self-motiv	ated and	taking on	appropriat	te level of	responsibil	ity					1
7	Open to no	ew ideas a	nd learnin	g new tec	hniques							~
8	Ability to	contribute	to the go	al of the o	rganization	1						1
9	Technical	knowledg	e/skill									~
10	Ability to	manage/le	adership o	qualities								/
11	Innovative	ness, crea	tivity	9								~
12	Relationsh	ip with se	niors/peer	s/subordir	nates		===					~
13	Involvemen	nt in socia	l activities	S								1
14	Ability to t	ake up ext	tra respon	sibility								~
15	obligation											/
	scale of 1 to	0 10 how	do you i	ate your	overall sat	tisfaction v	with AM	GOI	stuc	lents	and	the
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9		10	
If yo	u were dissa	tisfied wi	th any asp	ect, pleas	e commer	t further:						



How could our programs be improved? What specific comment curriculum?	ts do you have rega	rding the
Any other comments: Hard worker & Enthusi	astic	
Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?	Yes	No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes	1000000
Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about	any aspects of the p	No

Name of Employer: MY 'B' V Kumbhay

Position: Head of Department

company/Organization: Ahokrow make Polytechnic Vathor.

Constitution of Institution of Insti

HOD

Electrical Engineering Separtment,
Ashokfao Mane Polytechnic,
Vathar Tarl Vadgaon, Tai, Hatkanangi

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to sab@amgoi.edu.in .

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear	Emp	lover.
F. C. Carl		1000 1000

An aluminis of our institute Mr/Ms Disk a V Ved is working in your organization. We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your prestigious company organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you. If you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. It will help us to improve the institute further and give you better employees in future.

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

1-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

		satisfic		u with t	he studer	its work	performan	ce in	1	2	3	4	5
	Gene	ral com	munication	n skills									V
2	Deve	loping p	ractical so	lutions to	work pla	ces proble	ems						~
3			part of a te										-
4	Crea	tive in re	esponse to	workplac	ce challen	ges							~
5	Their	r plannii	ng and org	anization	skills							1	~
6	Self-	motivat	ed and tak	ing on ap	propriate	level of re	sponsibility	1				1	
7	Ope	n to new	ideas and	learning	new tech	niques					_	1	-
8	Abil	ity to co	ntribute to	the goal	of the org	anization							U
9	Tech	mical kr	nowledge/:	skill									~
10	Abil	ity to m	anage/lead	lership qu	alities					1			4
11	Inno	vativen	ess, creati	vity		3-3-1							~
12	Rela	tionship	with seni	ors/peers	/subordina	ates							
13	Invo	lvemen	t in social	activities									~
14	Abi	lity to ta	ke up extr	a respons	ibility								-
15		gation											1
	scale		10 how	do you r	ate your	overall sa	tisfaction w	ith AN	4GO)I st	uder	nts a	nd th
1		2	3	4	5	6	17	8		9		- 15	10

Mane Group

How could our programs be improved? What specific comments curriculum? The custiculum is fally at appears required for holistic of students.	complished with
- No comments	
Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?	Yes~ No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes No
Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about any students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discus your contact number. Contact number: 7972882808	

Name of Employer: PEOF. P.B. Ghewari Position: Dean Acade Mich Company/Organization: Ashokrao Mane Group of Institutions Vathors.



Signature with Seal

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to sab@amgoi.edu.in .

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E8TC
Faculty Name	: Mrs. S. S. Patil
Academic Year	: 2622-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

www. est					
Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is su	itable to the course?			
Excellent-5		Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
2. Syllabus is ne	ed based?		155	
Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
3. Aims and obj	ectives of the syllabi ar	e well defined and	d clear to teachers and	students?
Excellent-5	-Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
4. Course conten	t is followed by corres	ponding reference	books /materials?	
Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
5. The course/syl	labus has good balance	e between theory a	and lab?	
Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
The course / : subject area?	syllabus of this subje	ct increases my l	knowledge an	Facu
_Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average	1
	edom to propose, mo		d in corporate new	topics in the
	spect to the latest tech	nology?		
-Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
8. The books preso	cribed/listed as referen	ce material are rel	levant?	
Excellent-5	Yery good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1



	ended, changes in tea					
Mare	pratual	should	be.	Included	M	Sylden
						Q .



Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	EKT. Engq.
Faculty Name	Mr. D. J. Forwar
Academic Year	2022-73

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
-------------	-------------	--------	-----------	--------	--

Questionnaire:

	in the second				
1.	Syllabus is suit	able to the course?			
	Excellent-5	Nery good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
2.	Syllabus is nee	d based?			
	Excellent-5	Wery good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
3,	Aims and object	ctives of the syllabi a	ire well defined an	d clear to teachers and	students?
¢	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
4.	Course content	is followed by corre	sponding reference	e books /materials?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
5.	The course/syll	abus has good balan	ce between theory	and lab?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	_Average-2	Poor-I
6.	The course / s	yllabus of this subj	ect increases my	knowledge and persp	ective in the
	subject area?	8			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
7,	I have the free	edom to propose, n	nodify, suggest a	nd in corporate new	topics in the
	syllabus with re	spect to the latest tec	chnology?		
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
8.	The books preso	ribed/listed as refer	ence material are r	elevant?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1



	improvement:
--	--------------

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

VLSI	related	cource	Should	be	included in
last Year	of the	program	ome,	10.00.50.00	
/\lambda		1			

Signature of faculty



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: EATC	
Faculty Name	: Mr. S.A. Shosale.	
Academic Year	: 2022-2023	

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1	Ė	C./	Labore	ie	emit:	dide	to	the	course?
٦	i	SY	labus	18	SUH	юю	10	me	course:

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

Excellent-5 Hery good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1



4	Recommendations	for	course	improvement:
•	MECOMINERGATIONS	85.75	COMP. SC	named to one of our or other

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

Late should be included for subject AWP.

Signature of faculty



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Edic Engl.
Faculty Name	: Part K < Potal
Academic Year	: 2029 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

V-2-1					
Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	

Questionnaire:

198	33340330000				
1,	Syllabus is su	itable to the course?			
	Excellent-5	√ Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
2.	Syllabus is ne	ed based?			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
3.	Aims and obje	ectives of the syllabi a	re well defined and	d clear to teachers and	students?
	Excellent-5	. Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
4.	Course conten	t is followed by corres	ponding reference	books/materials?	
	Excellent-5	√Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
5.	The course/syl	llabus has good balanc	e between theory a	and lab?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	√Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
6.	The course /	syllabus of this subje	ect increases my l	knowledge and persp	ective in the
	subject area?	7			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
7.	I have the fre	edom to propose, m	odify, suggest an	d in corporate new	topics in the
		espect to the latest tecl			1 2
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	√Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
3.	The books pres	cribed/listed as refere	nce material are re	levant?	
	Excellent-5	√ Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A 2000



4 Recommendations for course improvement: (Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

. The	bala	MCC.	is &	bscave	. 4	in +	heory	and pract	ica)
Sub	jects	no f	reedo	m to	ps c	pose,	modify	, suggest	and
							syllacus		- 1

Signature of faculty



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	= 641. 17-34.
Faculty Name	Dr. S. V. Sergadon
Academic Year	1.0127-13

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

				D	
Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I	

Ouestionnaire:

1. Syllabus	is suitable to the	he course?
-------------	--------------------	------------

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

Excellent-5 ,Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

Excellent-5 -Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

Excellent-5 _Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 —Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

Excellent-5 Very good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1



(Please specify topics that should be add/drop	ped from the course, new books to be
recommended, changes in teaching scheme and e	experiments, etc, if any)
Adal - Simulation to	SAJTAM - 2RC
Krefor - services and	

Add - DSP processor tepicals

Signature of faculty



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E-570
Faculty Name	: Mis bharshal M. Mali
Academic Year	: 2 0 22-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
-------------	-------------	--------	-----------	--------	--

Questionnaire:

1.	Syllabus is sui	table to the course?					
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
2.	Syllabus is nee	ed based?					
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
3.	Aims and obje	ctives of the syllabi a	re well defined and	I clear to teachers and	students?		
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
4.	Course content	is followed by corres	sponding reference	books/materials?			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
5.	The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?						
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
6.	The course / s	syllabus of this subje	ect increases my	knowledge and persp	ective in the		
	subject area?						
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
7.	I have the free	edom to propose, m	odify, suggest an	d in corporate new	topics in the		
	syllabus with re	spect to the latest tec	hnology?				
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	G6od-3	Average-2	Poor-1		
8.	The books pres	cribed/listed as refere	nce material are re	elevant?			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1		



4	Recommendations	for	course	im	provement:
-	Precedition of the second	****	A 45 PER CIA		

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

logic gate	Electronics course	needs to added
in digital	Electronics course	



Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Inic
Faculty Name	S. A. Shankardas
Academic Year	: 2021-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				
Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I	

Questionnaire:

1	. Syllabus is sui	table to the course?			
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
2	Syllabus is nee	ed based?		200 (B.139) #1.243	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
3.	Aims and object	ctives of the syllabi ar	e well defined and	d clear to teachers and	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
4.	Course content	is followed by corres	ponding reference	books/materials?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
5,	The course/syll	abus has good balanc	e between theory a	and lab?	
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-I
6.	The course / sy subject area?	yllabus of this subje	ct increases my l	knowledge and persp	ective in the
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
7.	I have the free syllabus with res	dom to propose, mo	odify, suggest and	d in corporate new	topics in the
	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
8.	The books presci	ribed/listed as referen	ce material are re	levant?	. 001 1
į,	Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1



4	Recommendations for course improvement:
	(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be
1	recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)



Signature of faculty

Department	: ENTC	
Student Name	: Rutaja sunildatt ade	
Academic Year	: 2022-23	

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
Questionnaire:				
	u rate the syllabu		at you have st	udied in relation to the
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
2. How do you	rate allocation of	the credits to the co	urse?	
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
3. How do you streams?	rate offering of th	e electives in terms	s of their releva	nce to the specialization
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
4. How do you r	ate electives offer	ed in relation to the	technological a	advancements?
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
5. Rate the size of	f syllabus in term	s of the load on the	students?	
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	_Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
6. How do you ra	te the evaluation	scheme designed fo	or each of the co	ourse?
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good-3	Average- 2	Poor- I
7. How do you rai			ne course?	
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I
8. How do you rat	e percentage of co	ourses having lab o	component?	
Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I

improvement		n how to improve any		
Need E	xtra	lectures		

Signature of student

Department	: EtTC
Student Name	: Pratiksha Ramesh Dhobale
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average	-1	Poor-1	
Questionnai	re:					
1. Uaw do	you rate the syllab	us of the course	that you hav	e studied	in relation to	the

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- I

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

		- V	77 T.J. V8
Required	PCB	Design	Workshop

P. R. Dhobale
Signature of student

equiree	PCB	Design	Workshop
---------	-----	--------	----------

P. R. Dhobale
Signature of student

Department	: ERTC	
Student Name	: Rutuja Proakesh Partil	
Academic Year	: 2022-2023	

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

I.	How	do	you	rate	the	syllabus	of	the	course	that	you	have	studied	in	relation	to	the
	comp	eter	ncies	expe	ected	out of th	e o	ours	e?								

Excellent- 5 Wery Good - 4 Good

Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

Good-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

Good-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

Good-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very-Good - 4

Good-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

1-000d-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

Good-3

Average- 2 Poor- 1

Requ	ired					
1 4		books	In .	the	depar	tmen
liabar	rasy					
202						

Department	: EETC
Student Name	: sheeya Vasant Kadam
Academic Year	: 2022-23 (sem ()

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
-------------	--------------	--------	-----------	--------

Questionnaire:

1.	How do you	rate th	he syllabus	of the	course	that	you	have	studied	in	relation	to	the
	competencies	expect	ted out of the	е соигя	e?								

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

provement etc,. if a	ny)	
No i	mpsovement needed	

Signature of student


Department	: [.CTC	
Student Name	: Sheeya Vasan	1 tadam
Academic Year	: 2022-23	(sem 5)

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
in the second second				_

Questionnaire:

I.	How do you rate the syllabus of	of the course	that you	have	studied	in relation	to	the			
	competencies expected out of the course?										
	Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Ave	rage-	2 Poo	or- I					



3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?
 Excellent-5 Very Good - 4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Excellent- 5 Verý Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

(Give your opinion on how to improve improvement etc., if any)	any of the above point that you feel has a scope fo
Required Embedde	ed C

Signature of student

Department	: ECTC
Student Name	: Pranita Arun kudache
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
-------------	--------------	--------	-----------	--------

Questionnaire:

	Months Ci				
1.	How do you rate the sy competencies expected or			you have stud	died in relation to the
	- 경스 회사 (1995년 10일			. 92	2 9
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	1 - 4 G	ood- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
2.	How do you rate allocation	n of the credit	s to the cours	e?	
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	l-4 Go	ood- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I
3.	How do you rate offering streams?	of the elective	es in terms of	their relevan	ce to the specialization
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	1-4 _G	sod- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
4.	How do you rate electives	offered in rel	ation to the te	chnological a	dvancements?
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	- 4 Go	ood- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
5.	Rate the size of syllabus i	n terms of the	load on the st	udents?	
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	-4 Go	ood- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I
6.	How do you rate the evalu	ation scheme	designed for	each of the co	urse?
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	-4 \ G	od-3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
7.	How do you rate the object	tives stated fo	r each of the	course?	
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	-4 Go	ood- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
8.	How do you rate percenta	ge of courses l	naving lab cor	mponent?	
	Excellent- 5 Very Good	-4 _Ge	od-3	Average- 2	Poor- 1

4 Recon	nmendations for the co	irse improvement:
(Give your opinion of	on how to improve any	of the above point that you feel has a scope for
improvement etc,. if a	any)	
Required	embeded	C tutorials

Akudache.
Signature of student

Department	: [2,70
Student Name	: Pranita Aran kudache.
Academic Year	: 0022 2623

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
-------------	--------------	--------	-----------	--------

Questionnaire:

1.	How do you rate the syllabus of the course	that y	you	have	studied	in	relation	to	the
	competencies expected out of the course?								

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- I

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

 Recommendations for the course improvement: (Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you improvement etc., if any) 	feel has a scope for
No impairment precided	

Bakudache.

Signature of student

Department		: FET	C .	
Student Name		Rutuja	prakast	patil
Academic Year		2022-	2023	10.00
curriculum, tead for quality imp	hing, learning and ev	olution. The inform ogram of studies/i	nation will be use nstitution. Please	satisfaction towards the ed as important feedback indicate your level of
Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
Exceller	nt- 5 Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Š	Poor- 1
100	ncies expected out of			Poss I
				Proc. I
	nt- 5 Very Good - 4			
streams		ine electives in ten	its of their relevan	nce to the specialization
Exceller	nt-5 Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
4. How do	you rate electives of	fered in relation to t	he technological	advancements?
Excetter	nt- 5 Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I
5. Rate the	size of syllabus in te	rms of the load on t	he students?	
Exceller	nt-5 Wery Good -4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1
6. How do	you rate the evaluation	on scheme designed	for each of the c	ourse?
Condition	it-5 Very Good - 4	←Good-3	Average- 2	Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Good-3

Geod-3

Average- 2

Average-2

Poor- 1

Poor- 1

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4

★ Recomm (Give your opinion on	nendations for the cou how to improve any	of the above point that yo	ou feel has a scope for
improvement etc,. if any			
Required		w workshof level).

Requiapatil Signature of student

Department	: E+TC
Student Name	: Rutuja sunildatt Gote
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
-------------	--------------	--------	-----------	--------

Questionnaire:

	tioninan ei					
1.		rate the syllabus		hat you have st	udied in relation t	o the
		Very Good - 4		Average- 2	Poor- 1	
2.		rate allocation of th				
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- I	
3.	How do you	rate offering of the	electives in term	ns of their releva	nce to the specializ	ation
	streams?					
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1	
4.	How do you	rate electives offer	ed in relation to the	he technological	advancements?	
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1	
5.	Rate the size	of syllabus in term	s of the load on t	he students?		
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good-3	Average- 2	Poor- 1	
6.	How do you	rate the evaluation	scheme designed	for each of the c	ourse?	
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good- 3	Average- 2	Poor- 1	
7.	How do you	rate the objectives	stated for each of	the course?		
	Excellent- 5	Very Good - 4	Good-3	Average- 2	Poor- 1	
8.	How do you	rate percentage of o	courses having la	b component?		
	Excellent 5	View Good A	Good 3	Average 2	Dans 1	

	11 1 0 1001
Required	matlab - Programming lectur

Signature of student

Department	: ESTE
Student Name	: New (Chandraleast vid hote
Academic Year	: 2020-21

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1
	(4000 C-100)			

Questionnaire:

1.	How do you	rate	the	syllabus	of	the	course	that	you	have	studied	in	relation	to	the
	competencies	expe	cted	out of th	e c	ours	e?								

Excellent-5 Very Good - 4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?
 Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- I

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent-5 Very Good - 4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

Excellent- 5 LVery Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good- 3 Average- 2 Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

Excellent- 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1



4 Recommo	ndations for the course improvement:
(Give your opinion on I	sew to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for
improvement etc., if any	
Sign	ather and has

Signature of student



ShriBalasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarakMandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112 Website: www.amgoi.org



NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Consolidated feedback of stakeholders and action taken report for academic year 2022-23

Srno	Stakeholder	Feedback	Action Taken
		Maximum no. of book bank are required	
1	Student	Use Animation Video as maximum as possible	
		Required One hour every week for soft skill development	
	h 1	Industrial visits are required especially modern foundry	Han Industrial Visit
2	Alumni	Group discussion activity should be conducted	
		Python course is required, MPSC guidance needed	
3	Employer	Need to add aptitude and soft skill syllabus/Training	organice setfskill training program (study about of aptitude test)
		Need to add Energy related content	aprirate (sn)
		This subject will not be covered in a single semester. (MDR CAD CAM.)	conduct Extra fraction in TI
		Add practical slots (RAC)	
4	Faculty	Need laboratory practical's. (Applied Thermodynamics)	
		Engineering curves topic should be added (Engineering Graphics)	

Prepared By



21.15


Professor & Head Department Of Mechanical Engineering Ashokrao Mane Group of Institution Vathar, Kolhapur



ShriBalasaheb Mane ShikshariPrasarakMandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS





DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Date 07 07 12022

Summary Report

StudentsFeedback on Mechanical Engineering Curriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed students in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

5r no	Feedback by Students
1	Industrial visits are required especially Automation industries.
2	Maximum no. of book bank are required
3	Use Animation Video as maximum as possible
4	Required One hour every week for soft skill development

Prepared BY 19AC Coordinator

IDAC coordinator

Students Feedback on Curriculum				
Name of the Program	B.E / B. Tech (Mechanical Engineering)			
Total number of StuderH feedback collected	08			
Academic Year	22-23			

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

			Number of Stud	ents Who hi	ive marked	
Q.No	Statements	Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
QI	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?		3	1		
Q2	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	4	Д			
Q3	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	The state of the s	7			
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	24	4			
Q5	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	5	3			
Q6	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	3	5			
Q7	How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?	4	1	3		
Q8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	3	5			

Prepared By, Prof. G. R. Grillwack Head, Mechanical Engineering Department Prof. M. A. Sutar



Department	: Meen-anical Engineering
Student Name	: Chavan Amol 5-
Academic Year	:2021-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

					4
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1	J.

↓ Questionnaire:

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course ?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - I Excellent - 5

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - L

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Average -2

Poor - 1

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average -2 Poor - I

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements? Good - 3

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Poor - 1 Good - 3 Average - 2

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - L Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

↓ Recommendate (Give your opinion or improvement etc., if a provement etc.) (Give your opinion or improvement et	ions for course improvement: a how to improve any of the above statement)	ents that you feel has a scope for
improvement see		
		- Mrs
		Signature of Student
		The state of the s

Department	: Mechanica Eningerenny
Student Name	: Dhanray Jagtop
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

+ Questionnaire:

Excellent - 5

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average -2 Poor - 1 Excellent - 5

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Excellent - 5 Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1 Excellent - 5

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements? Good - 3

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Very Good - 4

Very Good - 4 Excellent - 5 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Average - 2 Poor - 1 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Excellent - 5

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Average -2 Poor - 1 Good - 3 Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor -Excellent - 5

→ Recommendations for course improvement: (Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you improvement etc., if any)	ou feel has a scope for
improvement con it and	

	-3504000 - H-110000
	O. E. Joseph
	Signature of Student
	Said more as an account of

Department	: Mechanical Expineening
Student Name	: mahajan omkars.
Academic Year	: 2022-2-3

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

O.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

4 Recommendations for course improvement: (Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that improvement etc., if any)	you feel has a scope for
12++12 	*
	4
	Signature of Student
	FS-wlont
	Signature of Student
	1



Shri Balasahob Mane ShiishanPrasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH - 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Date 3/8/22

Summary Report

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum (Academic Year 2021-22)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed Alumni members in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2021-22. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr no	Feedback by Alumni
1	Soft copy of notes are required
2	Language , communication training required
3	GATE training required.

Prepared By

ICAC coordinator

Alumni Fee	edback on Curriculum
Name of the Program	B.E / B.Tech(Mechanical Engineering)
Total no. of Alumni feedback collected	* 24
Academic Year	2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof 11o5.

		Number of Students Whohavernarked					
Q.No	Statements	Excellent-5	Very Coud-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-i	
Q)	Was the syllabus relevant to program?	9	8	7	-	-	
Q2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	8	8	8	-	-	
Q3	Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post graduation/research in the particular topic?		フ	8	2	-	
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?	9	9	6	-	,	
Q5	Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?	フ	7	10	-	-	
Q6	How do you rate the coursesthat you have learned in relation to your current job?	[0	10	4	-	-	

Prepared By,

HOR

		Alum	mi	Feedback on Cu	rriculum			
	Department			Mechanic	Dept	-		
	Alumni Name		13	Monthan	A TOTAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND			
	Academic Year /	Batch Batch		2022-28	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			
prog	l of preparation th gram. We	ey had at the instit seek your	tute	n alumni input on the The purpose of the help in efollowingstateme	is survey is to a completing	issess the this		
	Excellent - S	Very Good – 4		Good 3	Average	-2	Poor	1
	↓ Quest	ionnaire:						
2.1	Was the syllabus	relevant to program	n?					
	Exclutent - 5	Very Good – 4		Good - 3	Average – 2	Po	or - 1	
	Q.2 Was the sy	llabus updated end	guc	17				
	Excellent - 5	Ver)LGGGd - 4		Good - 3	Average – 2	Po	or 1	
	Q.3Does the sy topic?	dabus create any i	nte	rest to pursue post	-graduation/re	search in	the particu	ilar
	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4		Good - 3	Average – 2	Po	or - 1	
				ered in relation to levance to the spe			cements,	
	Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4		Goda-3	Average – 2	Po	or 1	
	Q.5Rate the co	urses helped you i	n ye	our career in terms	of self-learning	37		
	Excellent - 5	Very Geod - 4		Good 3	Average – 2	Po	or - 1	
	Q.6How do you	rate the courses t	hat	you have learned i	n relation to yo	ur curren	it job?	
	Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4		Gdpet=3	Average – 2	Po	or - 1	

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)
Industried Visit

required

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mech. Dept.
Alumni Name	: Vijay Ambole
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022_

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

- Questionnaire:

2.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q 2Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q. 3Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?


Excellent - 5 Very Good 4 Good 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)



Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical
Alumni Name	: Omkor Ghodake
Academic Year / Batch	: 2023

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - S Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Q.2Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q. SRate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Heed industrial yest

Signature of Alumni



5hri Balasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112 Website: www.amgoi.org



NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Date 8-6-23

Summary Report

Employer Feedback on Mechanical Engineering Curriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed Employer members who have recruited our college students in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr. No.	Feedback by Employer
1	Need to add aptitude and soft skill syllabus/ Training
2	Need to add Energy related content

Prepared BY

Training and Placement Coordinator

Employers Feedba	ick on Curriculum
Name of the Program	B.E / B. Tech (Mechanical Engineering)
Total number of employers feedback collected	02
Academic Year	2023 - 24

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

			Number of Stud	ents Who ha	ive marked	
Q. No	Statements	Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-
QI	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	0	2	0	Ø	O
Q2	How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?	1	1	0	U	6
Q3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	1	O	0	- 1	0
Q4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	1	1	0	O	6
Q5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	O	2	0	0	6
Q6	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	1	0	1	O	0
Q7	How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?	1	1	Ь	D	0

Prepared By, Prof. D. E. Patil Head, Mechanical Engineering Department Prof. M. A. Sutar

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	*
Company/Firm Name	: Desai Electronico Prt Utd.
Name of Person & designation	: Nageth shah - ManagerHR
Academic Year	: 2023 - 2024

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

4 Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.31s the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for (Give your opinion on how to improve	any of the above statements	that you feel has a scope for
improvement etc., if any)		

		For Desal Electronics Pvt. Ltd
	2572	No destrate



DESAI ELECTROVICS PVT. LTD Gat No. 563, Kendhenpur Road, Khed Shivapur, Dist. Pune 412201

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical	
Company/Firm Name	: ELCOM International, Kengal	
Name of Person & designation	: Prothmesh mane, DGM Engg.	
Academic Year	: 2023-24	

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

1	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.31s the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.5Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Average - 2

Poor - L

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Good - 3 Average - 2

Poor - 1

1	Recommendations	for	course	improvement	
4	recommendations	101	course	unprovement	

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Ned to Add Frectronics Syllabus

Signature of Employer

Drievic __

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical	
Company/Firm Name	: Ultradire Teal Pyt. Ltd Prine.	
Name of Person & designation	: Maratha U.M. CH. RHead),	
Academic Year	: 2028-24	

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

-	Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - I	7
	1.77.27.2007.00					_

Average -2

Poor - 1

Questionnaire:

Excellent - 5

Q.I Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Very Good - 4 Good - 3

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Average - 2 Good - 3 Poor - I

Q.31s the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - I

Q.5Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Excellent - 5 Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?


Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - I



Shri Balasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarak MandaPs

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112 Website: www.amgoi.org



DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Faculty Feedback on Mechanical Engineering Eurriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed faculty members in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Srno	Subject	Feedback by Faculty
1	MDR CAD CAM	This subject will not be covered in a single semester.
2	RAC	Add practical slots.
3	Applied Thermodynamics	Need laboratory practical's.
4	Engineering Graphics	Engineering curves topic should be added

Prepared BY

1QAC coordinator

Faculty	FeedbackonCurriculum
NameoftheProgram	B.E/B.Tech(MechanicalEngineering)
TotalnumberofFacultyfeedbackcollected	1.3
AcademicYear	2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

		NumberofFacultyWhohavemarked					
Q.No	Statements	Excellent 5	VeryGood 4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
Q1	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	8	5				
0.2	Howdoyoufeel about the syllabus content and coverage?	6	6	1			
G3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	4	8	1			
0.4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	7	5	1			
0.5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	б	6	1			
Q6	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	9	4				
Q7	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology	7	4	2			

Prepared By, W

Head, Mechanical Engineering Department

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Faculty Name	: 5-5 REGILLOW - CAD -CAMI MP-II, AG
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatement in the scale of 1 to 5

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
4 Ques	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Syllabus is suitable t	to the course			
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Syllabus is i	need based			
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Aimsandol	bjectivesofthesyllabiarev	welldefinedandclea	rtoteachersandstuden	ts
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.4 Course con	ntent is followed corresp	oonding reference b	ooks/materials	
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.S The course	e/syllabus has good bala	nce between theory	y and Lab	
Excellent-5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 The course	e/syllabus of this subject	increased my know	fledge and perspective i	n the subject area
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.71 have the to the latest te		odify, suggest and it	ncorporate new topics	in the syllabus with respec
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.8 The books	prescribed/listed as ref	erence materials ar	e relevant	
				According to

Good - 3

Excellent -5

Poor - 1

Average - 2

(Please spe changes in	4 Recommendations for course improvement: ecify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)
	Text books on required for cod-cam subject:
	industrial visits are required for MP-II LAG

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Faculty Name	: H.V. Shele
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
↓ Quest	tionnaire:			
2.1 Syllabus is suitable t	o the course			
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Syllabus is r	need based			
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Aimsandob	ijectives of the syllabiare	welldefinedandclea	rtoteachersandstudents	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q/4 Course con	itent is followed corresp	onding reference b	ooks/materials	
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 The course	/syllabus has good bala	nce between theory	and Lab	
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 The course	/syllabus of this subject	increased my know	ledge and perspective in	the subject area
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good-3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.71 have the to the latest te		odify, suggest and in	ncorporate new topics in	the syllabus with respec
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor + 1
Q.8 The books	prescribed/listed as ref	erence materials ar	e relevant	

Good - 3

Average - 2

Poor - 1

Excellent - 5 Yery Good - 4

☐ Recommendations for course improvement: (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)	



¥);

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mecha	nical E	ngineering		
Faculty Name	: Wx	P5	Ladgaonicer	(MDF	CAD (Am)
Academic Year	: 20	22-2	3		

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatement in the scale of 1105.

			The second secon	1200000000000
PERSONAL PROPERTY.	Mary Cond - A	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	1000000	1000-000-000	

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent -5 Very Good -4 Good -3 Average -2 Poor -1

Q.3 Aimsandobjectivesofthesyllabiarewelldefinedandcleartoteachersandstudents

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 7 Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

the is	Cultural	no-t	covered	11	a single
11112		***********		-8811 E-144	0 .
260					
amester.					