DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback on Curriculum Summary

| Sr. No.	Name of Stakeholders	Year's
1	Students Feedback	
2	Alumni Feedback	
3	Faculty Feedback	2022-23
4	Employers Feedback	

Prepared by

HOD
HOD
Civil Engineering
AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering
Vathar Tarf Vadgaon, Tal. hatkanangale, Dist. Kolhapur

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of Alumni feedbacks collected	23
Academic Year	2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q.No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Was the syllabus relevant to program?	13	6	4	0	0
Q2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	13	8	2	0	0
Q3	Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?	11	9	3	0	0
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?	9	9	5	0	0
Q5	Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?	10	10	3	0	0
Q6	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?	12	6	5	0	0

Alumini Feedback 2022-23

Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Prepared By

CIVIL ENGG. DEPT.

HOD
Civil Engineering
AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering
Athar Tarf Vadgaon, Tal. hatkanangale, Dist. Kolhapur

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil
Alumni Name	: Nikhil pandit konase
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓ Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   ✓ Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓ Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓ Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓ Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓ Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

🔸 Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Arrange the advance technique systems, &

Arrange the hired qulified lecturesu.

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Amol Anil Indulkar
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

♦ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Arrange Industrial and organised technical & sofware session.

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil
Alumni Name	: Digvijoy Sunil Kashid
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   (Good - 3)   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   (Very Good – 4)   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   (Very Good – 4)   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   (Good - 3)   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

(Excellent - 5)   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   (Good - 3)   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Arrange Industrial and advanced technical & sofware & apptitude sessions.

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: pooja Gopal Alase
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scopefor improvement etc., if any)

Arrange industrial working visit so that wea focus on our future security.

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Sourabh Sanjay Mali.
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022 - 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

| Excellent - 5 | Very Good – 4 | Good - 3 | Average – 2 | Poor - 1 |

✦ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Arrange industrial working visit so that we focus on our future security

Signature of Alumni

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of employers feedback collected	5
Academic Year	2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q. No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	3	2	0	0	0
Q2	Howdoyou feel about the syllabus content and coverage?	4	1	0	0	0
Q3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	1	4	0	0	0
Q4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	1	2	2	0	0
Q5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	0	2	3	0	0
Q6	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	3	2	0	0	0
Q7	How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?	3	1	1	0	0

Employers Feedback 2022-23

Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Prepared By

HOD
Civil Engineering
AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering
Jathar Tarf Vadgaon. Tal. Hatkanangale. Dist. Kolhapur
HOD

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engg.
Company/Firm Name	: matru Consullant
Name of Person & designation	: Prakynish. G. Vibhute.
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

- Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3 ✓   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Advance subjects are required to be add on more percentage

Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engg.
Company/Firm Name	: PolyEdge Consullancy.
Name of Person & designation	: Rohit Katkar
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Their should be Auto cad is the part of syllbus

Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil engineering
Company/Firm Name	: Patel Associates and construction
Name of Person & designation	: Patel mahammadarbaj Imtefaj
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3 ✓   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

⬇ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Include Industry Related syllabus.

Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil engineering
Company/Firm Name	: vijaymala construction kini
Name of Person & designation	: khopkar Harshavardhan pravin
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Very good no sugestion.

Signature of Employer

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Company/Firm Name	: Precision Engineering services, kolhapur
Name of Person & designation	: Bidre onkar Ashok
Academic Year	: 2022-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Need to add industri. oriented expert lecture

<div align="right">

[signature]
Signature of Employer

</div>

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of Faculty feedback collected	5
Academic Year	2022-23

This questionnaire intended to collection formation relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

Q. No	Statements	Number of Faculty Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	4	1	0	0	0
Q2	Syllabus is need based.	1	4	0	0	0
Q3	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	1	3	1	0	0
Q4	Course content is followed by corresponding reference books/materials	5	0	0	0	0
Q5	The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab.	2	3	0	0	0
Q6	The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject Area.	3	2	0	0	0
Q7	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology	1	2	2	0	0
Q8	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant.	5	0	0	0	0

Faculty Feedback 2022-23

Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Prepared By,

HOD
Civil Engineering
AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering

HOD

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	civil Engineering
Faculty Name	:	Prof. Suraj. S. patil.
Academic Year	:	2022 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by correspondingreference books/materials

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

⬇ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

For RCC design → need more focus on limit state method.

Signature of Faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Faculty Name	: Prof Shital Shivjondy chokalkar
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by correspondingreference books/materials

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance betweentheory and Lab

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   ✓Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

In Geology Practical should be included BTCV0206 Book (Geology) — subject
it is not included in syllabus. It is very important
to us

Signature of Faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Faculty Name	: Prof. I. A. Patil.
Academic Year	: 2020-22.

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by correspondingreference books/materials

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3 ✓   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

software books are required.

Signature of Faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Faculty Name	: Shinde J. M.
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by correspondingreference books/materials

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

Industry based equipment demonstration is required in syllabus. Industrial visit required for more understanding

Signature of Faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	Civil Engg.
Faculty Name	:	Prof. P. S. Koli
Academic Year	:	2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are welldefined and clear to teachers and students

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed by correspondingreference books/materials

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increasedmy knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggestand incorporate new topics in the syllabus withrespect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as referencematerials are relevant

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

Software are required to add.

Signature of Faculty

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B. Tech Civil Engineering
Total number of students feedback collected	17
Academic Year	2022-23

This questionnaire intended to collection for mention relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q. No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation the competencies expected out of the courses?	10	3	3	1	0
Q2	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	9	4	3	1	0
Q3	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	9	7	0	1	0
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	9	7	1	0	0
Q5	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	10	5	1	1	0
Q6	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	10	5	2	0	0
Q7	How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?	10	6	1	0	0
Q8	How do you rate the percentage of course shaving LAB components?	10	5	2	0	0

Student Feedback 2022-23

Excellent-5 VeryGood-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

Graph of Feedback against Questionnaire

Prepared By,

CIVIL ENGG. DEPT.

HOD
Civil Engineering
AMGOI, Faculty of Engineering

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engineering.
Student Name	: Mali pritt prakash
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23.

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the Curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   ✓Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion onhow to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scopefor improvement etc., if any)

need some guest lectures, related to course

containt

PPmali

Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering.
Student Name	: kamble Atul vishwas
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the Curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits tothe courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load onthe student

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for eachof the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

RCC design should be in limit state.

Anamble
Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Student Name	: Sutar Maithili Sanjay
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

DSS Designe should be in limit state

M.S.Sutar.
Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Student Name	: Chougule Sakshi Santosh
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load onthe student

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for eachof the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

RCC design should be in limit state.

Sakshigoud.

Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Civil Engineering
Student Name	: Powar Milind Prakash
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits tothe courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives interms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered inrelation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load onthe student

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation schemedesigned for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for eachof the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courseshaving LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc.. if any)

Arrange visits

M. P. Powar

Signature of Student

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Electronics & Telecommunication
Alumni Name	: Kapare Vaibhavi Uttam
Academic Year\ Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   ✓Average-2   Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poo

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your cu

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poor

Alum Feed.

4. Recommendations for **course** improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Give More Industry exposure to students

Signature V.V.K 9|8|23

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	E & TC
Alumni Name	:	Salunkhe Ashwini Sanjay
Academic Year\ Batch	:	2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

| Excellent-5 | Very Good-4 | Good-3 | Average-2 | Poor-1 |

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4 Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Arrange Expert lecture for software language.

Signature

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E & TC
Alumni Name	: Joshi Kumud Krushna
Academic Year\ Batch	: 2022 - 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

   Excellent-5 ⌐Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

   Excellent-5 Very Good-4 ⌐Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

   Excellent-5 ⌐Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

   Excellent-5 Very Good-4 ⌐Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

   Excellent-5 ⌐Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

   Excellent-5 Very Good-4 ⌐Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Arrange more training program

Signature

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Electronics & Telecommunication
Alumni Name	: Yadav Abhishek Prakash
Academic Year/Batch	: 2022 - 23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   _Good-3_   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   _Average-2_   Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

   Excellent-5   _Very Good-4_   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   _Good-3_   Average-2   Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

   Excellent-5   _Very Good-4_   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

Yadav 12/3/2?

4. **Recommendations for course improvement:**

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Programms on overseas education.

Signature of

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: CSI c
Alumni Name	: Pavan Y Shirde
Academic Year\ Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Was the syllabus relevant to program?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Was the syllabus update enough?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Does the syllabus create any interest to purpose post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization stream?

   Excellent-5   Very Good-4   ~~Good-3~~   Average-2   Poor-1

5. Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very Good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. **Recommendations for course improvement:**

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Give more exposure to Industry
& arrange hands on program on it.

Signature

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Employer,

An alumnus of our institute Mr/Ms. _Pawan Shinde_ is working in your organization. We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your prestigious company/organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you. If you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. It will help us to improve the institute further and give you better employees in future.

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

1-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

	How satisfied are you with the students work performance in each of these areas:	1	2	3	4	5
1	General communication skills				✓	
2	Developing practical solutions to work places problems			✓		
3	Working as part of a team				✓	
4	Creative in response to workplace challenges			✓		
5	Their planning and organization skills			✓		
6	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility			✓		
7	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques			✓		
8	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization				✓	
9	Technical knowledge/skill			✓		
10	Ability to manage/leadership qualities				✓	
11	Innovativeness, creativity			✓		
12	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates				✓	
13	Involvement in social activities					✓
14	Ability to take up extra responsibility				✓	
15	obligation				✓	

On scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AMGOI students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 ✓	9	10

If you were dissatisfied with any aspect, please comment further:

Need to improve time mangement skill.

Empolyee f

How could our programs be improved? What specific comments do you have regarding the curriculum?

Include core electronics relation programming languages.

Any other comments:

—

Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?	Yes ✓	No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes ✓	No

Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about any aspects of the program or students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discuss any issues, please provide your contact number.

Contact number:

Name of Employer: _Milind Ambekar_   Position: _Team Leader_

Company/Organization: _EverElectronics Pvt. Ltd., Pune_

Signature with Seal

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to **sab@amgoi.edu.in** .

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Employer,

An alumnus of our institute Mr/Ms. _Vidyarani Ananda Londe._ is working in your organization. We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your prestigious company/organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you. If you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. It will help us to improve the institute further and give you better employees in future.

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

1-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

	How satisfied are you with the students work performance in each of these areas:	1	2	3	4	5
1	General communication skills				✓	
2	Developing practical solutions to work places problems					✓
3	Working as part of a team					✓
4	Creative in response to workplace challenges					✓
5	Their planning and organization skills					✓
6	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility					✓
7	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques					✓
8	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization					✓
9	Technical knowledge/skill					✓
10	Ability to manage/leadership qualities					✓
11	Innovativeness, creativity					✓
12	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates					✓
13	Involvement in social activities					✓
14	Ability to take up extra responsibility					✓
15	obligation					✓

On scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AMGOI students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								✓	

If you were dissatisfied with any aspect, please comment further:

How could our programs be improved? What specific comments do you have regarding the curriculum?

Any other comments:

Hard worker & Enthusiastic

Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?	Yes	No
Would you refer us to other organization?	Yes	No

Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about any aspects of the program or students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discuss any issues, please provide your contact number.

Contact number:

Name of Employer: Mr. B. V. kumbhar _____ Position: Head of Department

Company/Organization: Ahokrao mane polytechnic vathar.

HOD
Electrical Engineering Department,
Signature With Seal
Ashokrao Mane Polytechnic,
Vathar Tarf Vadgaon, Tal. Hatkanangl-

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to sab@amgoi.edu.in .

EMPLOYEERS FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Employer,

An alumnus of our institute Mr/Ms ___Disha V Ved___ is working in your organization. We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your prestigious company/organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you. If you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. It will help us to improve the institute further and give you better employees in future.

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question:

1-Far from Satisfied, 2- Not Satisfied, 3-Satisfied, 4- Happy, 5-Very Happy

	How satisfied are you with the students work performance in each of these areas:	1	2	3	4	5
1	General communication skills					✓
2	Developing practical solutions to work places problems					✓
3	Working as part of a team					✓
4	Creative in response to workplace challenges					✓
5	Their planning and organization skills					✓
6	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility					✓
7	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques					✓
8	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization					✓
9	Technical knowledge/skill					✓
10	Ability to manage/leadership qualities					✓
11	Innovativeness, creativity					✓
12	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates					✓
13	Involvement in social activities					✓
14	Ability to take up extra responsibility					✓
15	obligation					✓

On scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AMGOI students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 ✓

If you were dissatisfied with any aspect, please comment further:

How could our programs be improved? What specific comments do you have regarding the curriculum?

The curriculum is fully accomplished with aspects required for holistic development of students.

Any other comments:

— No comments —

		Yes	No
Would you like to recruit more AMGOI student?		Yes ✓	No
Would you refer us to other organization?		Yes ✓	No

Please feel free to speak in confidence with our TPO/staff about any aspects of the program or students performance. If you would like staff to contact you to discuss any issues, please provide your contact number.

Contact number: 7972882808

Name of Employer: Prof. P. B Ghelodi   Position: Dean Academics

Company/Organization: Ashokrao Mane Group of Institutions
Vathar.

Signature with Seal

Please email the scanned copy of completed form to sab@amgoi.edu.in .

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E & TC
Faculty Name	: Mrs. S.S. Patil
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

    Excellent-5   ~~Very good-4~~   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

    ~~Excellent-5~~   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

    Excellent-5   ~~Very good-4~~   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

    ~~Excellent-5~~   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

    ~~Excellent-5~~   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge an subject area?

    ~~Excellent-5~~   Very good-4   Good-3   Average

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

    ~~Excellent-5~~   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

    Excellent-5   ~~Very good-4~~   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

More praticals should be included in syllabus

Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	E & T. Engg.
Faculty Name	Ms. D. J. Pawar
Academic Year	2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   ✓Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   ✓Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

VLSI related course should be included in last year of the programme.

Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E4TC
Faculty Name	: Mr. S. A. Bhosale.
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   ✓Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   ✓Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Lab should be included for subject AWP.

Signature **of faculty**

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E & T C Engg.
Faculty Name	: Prof. R. S. Patil
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   ✓ Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   ✓ Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   Excellent-5   ✓ Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

♣ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

- The balance is observed in theory and practical subjects.
- There is no freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.

Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	C &7. 17-71.
Faculty Name	:	Mr. S. V. ...tgad-en
Academic Year	:	2c+22-2'5

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   _Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   _Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   _Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   _Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   -Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   Excellent-5   -Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4 Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

Add - Simulation tools - MATLAB

Add - DSP processor topics in

DSP course.

Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E&TC
Faculty Name	: MIS Dhansh3l M. Mali
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excallent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

logic gates & Number system needs to added
in digital Electronics course

Signature of faculty

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Entc
Faculty Name	: S. A. Shankardas
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. Syllabus is suitable to the course?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

2. Syllabus is need based?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

3. Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

4. Course content is followed by corresponding reference books /materials?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

5. The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and lab?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

6. The course / syllabus of this subject increases my knowledge and perspective in the subject area?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

7. I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and in corporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

8. The books prescribed/listed as reference material are relevant?

   Excellent-5   Very good-4   Good-3   Average-2   Poor-1

↓ **Recommendations for course improvement:**

(Please specify topics that should be add/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc, if any)

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Signature of faculty

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E&TC
Student Name	: Rutuja Sunildatt Gide
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Need Extra lectures

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E & TC
Student Name	: Pratiksha Ramesh Dhobale
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required PCB Design Workshop

P.R.Dhobale
Signature of student

⬇ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required PCB Design Workshop

P. R. Dhobale

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E&TC
Student Name	: Rutuja Prakash Patil
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   ~~Very~~ Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   ~~Very~~ Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required.
No . of books In the department liabarary

Rutuja Patil
Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E&TC
Student Name	: Sheeya Vasont Kadam
Academic Year	: 2022-23 (sem 6)

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   _Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   _Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   _Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   _Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   _Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   _Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   _Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   _Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

No improvement needed

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: J.C.T.C
Student Name	: Shreya Vasant Kadam
Academic Year	: 2022 - 23 (sem 5)

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required Embedded C

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: EℓTC
Student Name	: Pranita Arun kudache
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required embeded C tutorials

BAkudache.

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: ι ℓ, τc
Student Name	: Pranita Arun kudache.
Academic Year	: 2022 - 2023

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4 **Recommendations for the course** improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

No improvment needed

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: E&TC.
Student Name	: Rutuja Prakash Patil
Academic Year	: 2022-2023

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

↓ Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required
PCB design S/w workshop
department level

Rutujapatil
Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	E & TC
Student Name	:	Rutuja Sunildatt Gote
Academic Year	:	2022 - 23

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3 ✓   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3 ✓   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?

    Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4 Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc,. if any)

Required matlab - Programming lectures

Signature of student

Student Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:	E &TC
Student Name	:	Niluf Chandrakant Vidhate
Academic Year	:	2020-21

This questionnaire is indented to collect information reacting to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evolution. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statements in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent-5	Very Good -4	Good-3	Average-1	Poor-1

Questionnaire:

1. How do you rate the syllabus of the course that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?
   Excellent- 5 ✓   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

2. How do you rate allocation of the credits to the course?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

3. How do you rate offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4. How do you rate electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?
   Excellent- 5 ✓   Very Good - 4   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

5. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the students?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

6. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

7. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4 ✓   Good- 3   Average- 2   Poor- 1

8. How do you rate percentage of courses having lab component?
   Excellent- 5   Very Good - 4   Good- 3 ✓   Average- 2   Poor- 1

4 Recommendations for the course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc.. if any)

Simulation workshop.

Signature of student

ShriBalasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarakMandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Consolidated feedback of stakeholders and action taken report for academic year 2022-23

Sr no	Stakeholder	Feedback	Action Taken
1	Student	Maximum no. of book bank are required	
		Use Animation Video as maximum as possible	
		Required One hour every week for soft skill development	
2	Alumni	Industrial visits are required especially modern foundry	Plan Industrial Visit
		Group discussion activity should be conducted	
		Python course is required, MPSC guidance needed	
3	Employer	Need to add aptitude and soft skill syllabus/ Training	organise soft skill training Program (study abroad aptitude test)
		Need to add Energy related content	
4	Faculty	This subject will not be covered in a single semester. (MDR CAD CAM)	Conduct Extra Practical in TT.
		Add practical slots.(RAC)	
		Need laboratory practical's. (Applied Thermodynamics)	
		Engineering curves topic should be added (Engineering Graphics)	

Prepared By

HOD

Professor & Head
Department Of Mechanical Engineering
Ashokrao Mane Group of Institution
Vathar, Kolhapur

ShriBalasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarakMandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Date 09/07/2023

Summary Report

StudentsFeedback on Mechanical Engineering Curriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed students in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr no	Feedback by Students
1	Industrial visits are required especially Automation industries.
2	Maximum no. of book bank are required
3	Use Animation Video as maximum as possible
4	Required One hour every week for soft skill development

Prepared BY

IQAC Coordinator

IQAC coordinator

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B.E / B. Tech (Mechanical Engineering)
Total number of Student feedback collected	08
Academic Year	22-23

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q.No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	4	3	1		
Q2	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	4	4			
Q3	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	1	7			
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	4	4			
Q5	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	5	3			
Q6	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	3	5			
Q7	How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?	4	1	3		
Q8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	3	5			

Prepared By,
Prof. G.R.Gaikwad

Head, Mechanical Engineering Department
Prof. M. A. Sutar

Mane Group of Institutions, Kolhapur
Machanical Engineering Department
Ashokrao

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Student Name	: Chavan Amol S.
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

| Excellent - 5 | Very Good – 4 | Good - 3 | Average – 2 | Poor - 1 |

+ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course ?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

_____

_____

_____

Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Eningereeing
Student Name	: Dhanraj Jagtap
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course ?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

D. R. Jogtap

Signature of Student

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Student Name	: mahajan omkar S.
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yours at is faction to wards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course ?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

4. Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

....

....

....

Signature of Student

Shri Balasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)**

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Date: 3/5/22

Summary Report

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum (Academic Year 2021-22)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed Alumni members in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2021-22. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr no	Feedback by Alumni
1	Soft copy of notes are required
2	Language , communication training required
3	GATE training required.

Prepared BY

IQAC coordinator

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B.E / B.Tech(Mechanical Engineering)
Total no. of Alumni feedback collected	24
Academic Year	2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof 1to5.

Q.No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Was the syllabus relevant to program?	9	8	7	—	—
Q2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	8	8	8	—	—
Q3	Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post graduation/research in the particular topic?	7	7	8	2	—
Q4	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements,industrialrequirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?	9	9	6	—	—
Q5	Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?	7	7	10	—	—
Q6	How do you rate the coursesthat you have learned in relation to your current job?	10	10	4	—	—

Prepared By,

HOD

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Dept
Alumni Name	: Manthan Patil
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022-23

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Industrial Visit required

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mech. Dept.
Alumni Name	: Vijay Ambole
Academic Year / Batch	: 2022

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

2.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:
(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Signature of Alumni

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical
Alumni Name	: Omkor Ghodate
Academic Year / Batch	: 2023

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above point that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Need Industrial vsit

Signature of Alumni

Shri Balasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Date 8-6-23

Summary Report

Employer Feedback on Mechanical Engineering Curriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed Employer members who have recruited our college students in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr. No.	Feedback by Employer
1	Need to add aptitude and soft skill syllabus/ Training
2	Need to add Energy related content

Prepared BY

Training and Placement Coordinator

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Name of the Program	B.E / B. Tech (Mechanical Engineering)
Total number of employers feedback collected	02
Academic Year	2023-24

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q. No	Statements	Number of Students Who have marked				
		Excellent-5	VeryGood-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	0	2	0	0	0
Q2	How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?	1	1	0	0	0
Q3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	1	0	0	1	0
Q4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	1	1	0	0	0
Q5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	0	2	0	0	0
Q6	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	1	0	1	0	0
Q7	How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?	1	1	0	0	0

Prepared By,
Prof. D. E. Patil

Head, Mechanical Engineering Department
Prof. M. A. Sutar

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	:
Company/Firm Name	: Desai Electronic Pvt Ltd.
Name of Person & designation	: Nagesh Shah - Manager HR
Academic Year	: 2023 - 2024

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

✓Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

For Desai Electronics Pvt. Ltd.

Signature of Employer
Authorised Signatory

DESAI ELECTRONICS PVT. LTD
Gat No. 553, Kendhanpur Road,
Khed Shivapur, Dist. Pune 412205

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical
Company/Firm Name	: ELCOM International, Kagal
Name of Person & designation	: Prathmesh Mane, DGM Engg.
Academic Year	: 2023-24

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor – 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Give your opinion on how to improve any of the above statements that you feel has a scope for improvement etc., if any)

Ned to Add Electronics Syllabus

Signature of Employer

Pravne

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical
Company/Firm Name	: Ultratire Tech Pvt. Ltd Pune.
Name of Person & designation	: Naratha U.M. CH. R.Head).
Academic Year	: 2023-24

An industry is ultimate customer of engineering graduates, it's satisfaction about standards and content of AMGOI graduates is important. Our institute always maintains a continuous dialogue accordingly. As the ultimate beneficiary of our quality product your support and feedback will help us to maintain the required standards of education. Here are some of the points facilitate you in giving feedback about our students. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 How do you feel about the syllabus content and coverage?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Is the ordering of the course is correct?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 What about the adequacy of core courses?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 How is the provision for the latest trend and development in the curriculum?

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Shri Balasaheb Mane ShikshanPrasarak Mandal's

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

NH – 4, VatharTarfVadgaon, Tal: -Hatkanangale, Dist: - Kolhapur-416112

Website: www.amgoi.org

NBA accredited Programs* | Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade (CGPA 3.08)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Summary Report:

Faculty Feedback on Mechanical Engineering Curriculum (Academic Year 2022-23)

This report compiles the comprehensive feedback provided by esteemed faculty members in the Mechanical Engineering department for the academic year 2022-23. The insights gathered reflect their perspectives on the current curriculum, suggestions for improvement.

Sr no	Subject	Feedback by Faculty
1	MDR CAD CAM	This subject will not be covered in a single semester.
2	RAC	Add practical slots.
3	Applied Thermodynamics	Need laboratory practical's.
4	Engineering Graphics	Engineering curves topic should be added

Prepared BY

IQAC coordinator

FacultyFeedbackonCurriculum	
NameoftheProgram	B.E/B.Tech(MechanicalEngineering)
TotalnumberofFacultyfeedbackcollected	13
AcademicYear	2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/ institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Q.No	Statements	NumberofFacultyWhohavemarked				
		Excellent 5	VeryGood 4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Q1	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization.	8	5			
Q2	Howdoyoufeel about the syllabus content and coverage?	6	6	1		
Q3	Is the ordering of the course is correct?	4	8	1		
Q4	What about the adequacy of core courses?	7	5	1		
Q5	Are major features of the curriculum satisfactory with the current trend?	6	6	1		
Q6	Is there any entrepreneurial promotion in the curriculum?	9	4			
Q7	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology	7	4	2		

Prepared By,

Head, Mechanical Engineering Department

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Faculty Name	: S-S. Rebear - CAD -CAM, MP-II, AE
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aimsandobjectivesofthesyllabiarewelldefinedandcleartoteachersandstudents

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

⊥ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

Text books are required for cad-cam subject.

Industrial visits are required for MP-II & AE

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Faculty Name	: H.V.Shete
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aimsandobjectivesofthesyllabiarewelldefinedandcleartoteachersandstudents

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed corresponding reference books/materials

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology

   ✓ Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant

   Excellent - 5   ✓ Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

_____

_____

_____

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: Mechanical Engineering
Faculty Name	: Mr P S Ladgaonkar (MDF CAD (AM)
Academic Year	: 2022-23

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.Pleaseindicateyourlevelofsatisfactionwiththefollowingstatementinthescaleof1to5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

↓ Questionnaire:

Q.1 Syllabus is suitable to the course

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.2 Syllabus is need based

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.3 Aimsandobjectivesofthesyllabiarewelldefinedandcleartoteachersandstudents

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.4 Course content is followed corresponding reference books/materials

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.5 The course/syllabus has good balance between theory and Lab

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.6 The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.7 I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus with respect to the latest technology

Excellent - 5   Very Good – 4 ✓   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

Q.8 The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant

Excellent - 5 ✓   Very Good – 4   Good - 3   Average – 2   Poor - 1

↓ Recommendations for course improvement:

(Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)

This subject not covered in a single

semester.