

ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

Approved by A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi
D.T.E. Mumbai, Govt. of Maharashtra
Permanent Affiliation to DBATU, Lonere, Shivaji University, Kolhapur





NBA Accredited Programs *
* Mechanical, Electrical, Civil



NAAC Accredited with "A" Grade
With CGPA of 3.08



Curriculum Feedback-2018-19

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Mr. Jayant Patil
Academic Year / Batch	: 2018-19

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of eademic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

			_ ,	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
		¥ E		
a⊫ Que	stionnaire:			
0.4.46 +6-		ecom)	ii	

Q.1 Was the syllabus relevant to program?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.2 Was the syllabus updated enough?

Excellent - 5 very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular

Q.3 Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.4 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements, industrial requirements and in relevance to the specialization streams?

Excellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.5 Rate the courses helped you in your career in terms of self-learning?

VExcellent - 5 Very Good - 4 Good - 3 Average - 2 Poor - 1

Q.6 How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job?

Excellent • 5 Very Good – 4 Good - 3 Average – 2 Poor - 1

Department	: civil Engs.
Company/Firm Name	: box1 consuctor.
Name of Person & designation	: Anol Moun paril
Academic Year	: 2018-19

	satisfaction with the io		Average – 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	7,1,0	an areas
	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Ability to c	ontribute to the goal o	of the organization	n.	_
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
O.2 How do yo	ou feel about the syllal	bus content and	coverage?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Is the ord	ering of the course is a	correct?		
	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
0.4 What abo	ut the adequacy of co	re courses?		
Extellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
a C Are maiol	features of the curric	culum satisfactor	y with the current tr	end?
	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
LAVO	ny entrepreneurial pro	omotion in the cu	urriculum?	
Q.6 is there are	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 3	e provision for the lat	est trend and de	velopment in the cu	rriculum?
Q.7 How is the	e provision for the lac			Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	6001 - T

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Department	: simil Engineering
Faculty Name	: prof P.S. Koll
Academic Year	: 2018-19

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

		Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	G00 u - 3	i	
4 Ques	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Syllabus is s	uitable to the course			
Excellent - 5	Wery Good -4	Good ~ 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Syliabus is	need based			
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good-3	Average – 2	Poor = 1
O 3 Aime and 6	objectives of the syllabi	are welldefined and	d clear to teachers and	students
Excellent - 5	Verý Gaod −4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
	ntent is followed by co	orrespondingrefere	nce books/materials	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
O F The course	/syllabus has good bala	nce between theory	and Lab	
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
	/syllabus of this subject	increasedmy know	ledge and perspective i	n the subject area
Q.6 The course	(2) Nigūra of trip avalesc			Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	
Q.7 I have the fa	reedom to propose, mo pology	odify, suggestand in	corporate new topics	in the syllabus withrespec
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor-1
 O 8 The backs t	prescribed/listed as ref	erencematerials ar	e relevant	
2	Very Good -4	∫ Goød - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1

(Please specify topics that should be added	Recommendations for course improvement: Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, hanges in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if any)			
All are reverant	t to subject			
		Signature of Faculty		

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Engq.
Student Name	: Bhosale Ramchandra Ankuel.
Academic Year	: 2018-19

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

				D 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
L Evertions 3	1 1011 0000 .)			

Eventiont E	Man Cond – A I	G000-3	MACINEC -	·	
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4				
🕹 Ques	tionnaire:				
Q.1 How do yo competen	ou rate the syllabus of cies expected out of t	the courses that yo he course?	u have studied in I	relation to the	
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.2 How do yo	ou rate the allocation	of the credits tothe	courses?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good 4	Good-3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.3 How do yo streams?	ou rate the offering of	the electives intern	ns of their relevand	ce to the specialization	'n
Excellent - 5	J∕€ry Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.4 How do yo	ou rate the electives o	offered inrelation to	the Technological	advancements?	
Excellent = 5	Nery Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.5 Rate the Si	ze of syllabus in terms	of the load onthe s	tudent		
Excellent - 5	√ery Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.6 How do yo	u rate the evaluation s	schemedesigned for	each of the cours	e?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.7 How do you	rate the objectives s	tated for each of the	course?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.8 How do you	urate the percentage	of courseshaving LA	AB components?		
Excellent - 5	Wery Good-4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Po or - 1	

10.7	Recommendations for course improvement: your opinion onhow to improve any of the above point that yo if any)	
	endustry releated exploshed or	equired.
		. C.
		Signature of Student



ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

Approved by A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi
D.T.E. Mumbai, Govt. of Maharashtra
Permanent Affiliation to DBATU, Lonere, Shivaji University, Kolhapur





NBA Accredited Programs *
* Mechanical, Electrical, Civil



NAAC Accredited with "A" Grade
With CGPA of 3.08



Curriculum Feedback-2019-20

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Miss. Shweta Pati)
Academic Year / Batch	: 2019-20

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor	- 1
3.0	tionnaire:	<u></u>			
Q.1 Was the s	yllabus relevant to pr	ogram?			
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average ~ 2	Poor = 1	
Q,2 Was the	syllabus updated eno	ugh?			
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average = 2	Poor - 1	
Q.3 Does the topic?	syllabus create any Ir	nterest to pursue (post-बृraduation/rese	arch in the partic	;ular
Excellent - 5	Wery Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
			n to the technological specialization streams		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.5 Rate the	courses helped you in	your career in te	rms of self-learning?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Grood - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.6 How do y	ou rate the courses th	nat you have learr	ied in relation to you	current job?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

(Give your opinion on	endations for course improvement: now to improve any of the above point that you fee	l has a scopefor improvement
etc., if any) It is ob	, Not required	
		Signature of Alumni

Department	: civil Ens. Department
Company/Firm Name	: strater currencyon.
Name of Person & designation	: Poatik someth Paril
Academic Year	: 2019-20

MICSTS ADDITIONS OF	304.0			
<u>.</u>			Average - 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3		
	tionnaire:			
O.1 Ability to 0	contribute to the goal	of the organization	n.	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 How do y	ou feel about the syll:	abus content and c	overage?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Is the ord	ering of the course is	correct?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
ე.4 What abo	out the adequacy of c	ore courses?		_
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
O 5 Are maio	r features of the curr	iculum satisfactory	with the current tro	end?
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
	ny entrepreneurial pi	romotion in the cu	rriculum?	
excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
	e provision for the la	tact trand and dev	elopment in the cu	riculum?
Q.7 How is th	e provision for the la	rest name and ac-	. .	3040/04000/ 4 0
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

Recommendations for	r course Improvement: any of the above statements that you feel has a scopefor
improvement etc., if any)	•
	misming should be their
	a
	·
	Qu. tel



ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

Approved by A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi
D.T.E. Mumbai, Govt. of Maharashtra
Permanent Affiliation to DBATU, Lonere, Shivaji University, Kolhapur





NBA Accredited Programs *
* Mechanical, Electrical, Civil



NAAC Accredited with "A" Grade With CGPA of 3.08



Curriculum Feedback-2020-21

Department	: Civil Engineering
Alumni Name	: Miss. Swapnali shetake
Academic Year / Batch	: 2020-21

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
10 12	r. la			
∔ Ques	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Was the s	yllabus relevant to pro	gram?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Was the	syllabus updated enou	gh?		
Excellent - 5	Yery Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Does the topic?	syllabus create any inf	terest to pursue	post-graduation/resea	arch in the particular
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.4 How do y industrial	you rate the electives of requirements and in	offered in relatio relevance to the	n to the technologica specialization stream	l advancements, ns?
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 Rate the	courses helped you in	your career in t	erms of self-learning	?
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 How do y	ou rate the courses th	nat you have lear	rned in relation to yo	ur current job?

Good - 3

Excellent - 5

Very Good - 4

Poor - 1

Average - 2

if any)	and tralance	cl. puld	he added	ento the sy
Bengu	Sopiano	8000		l
·				

Department	: Ockar. Delanershil Ensay
Company/Firm Name	: oslear Designer
Name of Person & designation	: Runikesh mankan
Academic Year	: 2021-22

			1	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	100.
↓ Ques	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Ability to	contribute to the goal	of the organization.		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 How doy	ou feel about the sylla	bus content and cov	erage?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Is the ord	ering of the course is	correct?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.4 What abo	ut the adequacy of co	ore courses?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 Are major	features of the curri	culum satisfactory v	vith the current tren	₫?
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 Is there ar	ny entrepreneurial pri	omotion in the curr	iculum?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.7 How is the	provision for the lat	est trend and deve	lopment in the curri	cnjnw ₃
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

More	tous or	soft	vore	
	1 7 7 7	<i>y</i>	50 A A	
··			<u></u>	

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: civil Englineoning
Faculty Name	: prof. S.S. chokalekor
Academic Year	: 2020-21

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Execution 5	, e. , <u></u>		n + 49°		
‡ Ques	tionnaire:				
Q.1 Syllabus is s	ultable to the course				
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor = 1	
Q.2 Syllabus is	need based				
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.3 Aims and	objectives of the syllabi a	re welldefined and	clear to teachers and s	tudents	
Excellent - 5	Very-Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.4 Course α	ontent is followed by co	rrespondingrefere	nce hooks/materials		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.5 The cours	e/syllabus has good balar	nce between theory	and tab		
Excellent - 5	Wery Good = 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.6 The cours	e/syllabus of this subject	increased my know	ledge and perspective i	n the subject area	
€xcellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.7 I have the the latest tecl	freedom to propose, mo nnology	odify, suggestand i	acorporate new topics	in the syllabus withre	espect to
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	
Q.8 The book	s prescribed/listed as ref	erencematerials a	re relevant		
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1	

Recommendations for course in (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc., if	from t f any)	he course, (
Overall OK, relevoil	60	ch~i)	engl ne	ears 19	pont of
yew, want tobe inclu	ded	Resu	uting.	Gum	y in
Practical					Ju)me)
				Signa	ture of Faculty



ASHOKRAO MANE GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

Approved by A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi
D.T.E. Mumbai, Govt. of Maharashtra
Permanent Affiliation to DBATU, Lonere, Shivaji University, Kolhapur





NBA Accredited Programs *
* Mechanical, Electrical, Civil



NAAC Accredited with "A" Grade
With CGPA of 3.08



Curriculum Feedback-2021-22

Department	: Civil sugineering
Alumni Name	: Miss Namsata Patol
Academic Year / Batch	: 2021-22

The purpose of this survey is to obtain alumni input on the quality of education they received and the level of preparation they had at the institute. The purpose of this survey is to assess the quality of academic program. We seek your help in completing this survey. So, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	7 VC1 Y GOOD 4	1 000		
⊯ Que:	stionnaire:			
Q.1 Was the s	syllabus relevant to pro	ogram?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Was the	syllabus updated enou	ıgh?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good –4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Does the topic?	syllabus create any in	terest to pursue po	ost-graduation/resear	rch in the particular
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q,4 How do yo industrial (ou rate the electives or requirements and in r	offered in relation to the sp	to the technological a secialization streams	ndvancements,
Excellent - 5	Very Good –4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 Rate the co	ourses helped you in t	our career in terr	ns of self-learning?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 How do you	urate the courses tha	it you have learne	d in relation to your	current job?
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
/				

The syllabors	1) software	a regulal
	V	7

Department	: civil Engg.
Company/Firm Name	: p.v. manes Asst.
Name of Person & designation	: prairell v. mane
Academic Year	: 2020-21

			Average – 2	Poor - 1
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Avelage 2	
↓ Quest	ionnaire:			
Q.1 Ability to α	ontribute to the goal	of the organization	1.	
	Very Good – 4		Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 How do yo	u feel about the syll	abus content and o	overage?	
	Very Good - 4		Average – 2	Роог - 1
Q.3 is the orde	ering of the course is	correct?		
· Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.4 What abou	ut the adequacy of c	core courses?		
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 Are major	features of the curr	iculum satisfactory	with the current tr	end?
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
N.T.E.	y entrepreneurial p	romotion in the cu	rriculum?	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q,7 How is the	provision for the la	atest trend and dev	elopment in the cu	rriculum?
Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1

	ecommendations inion onhow to impr etc., if any)	ove any of	the above	vement: statements	that you fe	el has a sc	opefor	
more	- focus	00.	TNS	whit	nota.	red .	prachica	'
						Signat	ure of Employe	• r

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Department	: c'ivil Englaceria
Faculty Name	: Prof. P.J. Koli
Academic Year	: 2021-21

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following statement in the scale of 1 to 5.

Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
‡ Ques	tionnaire:			
Q.1 Syllabus is s	uitable to the course			
Excellent - 5	Very Good -4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.2 Syilabus is	need based		,	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.3 Aims and o	objectives of the syllabi	are welldefined and	clear to teachers and st	udents
Excellent - 5	Wery Good - 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.4 Course co	ntent is followed by co	rrespondingreferen	ce books/materials	
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.5 The course	/syllabus has good balar	nce between theory	and Lab	
Excellent - 5	Very Good 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.6 The course,	/syllabus of this subject	increasedmy knowle	edge and perspective in	the subject area
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.7 I have the f the latest techn	*	dify, suggestand inc	orporate new topics in	the syllabus withrespect to
Excellent - 5	Very Good – 4	Good - 3	Average – 2	Poor - 1
Q.8 The books	prescribed/listed as refe	erencematerials are	relevant	

Good - 3

Average - 2

Excellent - 5

Very Good -4

Poor - 1